Seneca on pleasure

Seneca has much to say *contra voluptatem*, as might be expected of a Stoic philosopher. Pleasure, we must recall, was the *summum bonum* of the Epicureans, the rival school of the Stoics. The latter stressed *apatheia*, selfregulation, and restraint; they lauded the suppression of pleasure, arguing that *voluptas* was invidious to a life of reason, constancy, and virtue. Needless to say, Seneca was especially outspoken about pleasures because of the excessive pursuit of them by his contemporaries in Imperial Rome. It is notorious that the Romans of the first century A. D. exceeded all bounds in their craving for and idealization of extravagance and luxury ¹.

This Philosopher of the Neronian Age is well aware of the fatal attraction of sensual pleasures.

Si de bono sensus iudicarent, nullam voluptatem reiceremus, nulla enim non invitat, nulla non delectat...

(Ep.. 124.2)

(If the-senses were to judge what is good, we would reject no pleasure, for there is none that does not en-

1 Luxury was the common target of the Roman moralists. Livy, for example, observes that it threatened the entire social fabric of Rome: ... nuper divitiae avaritiam et abundantes voluptates desiderium per luxum atque libidinem perdendique omnia invexere (Bk, I, Praef.).

tice, none that does not delight...)

Yet the indulgence in such pleasures is the source of all evil ². *Radix malorum est cupiditas* ³. Cicero, quoting Archytas of Tarentum, writes:

Nullam capitaliorem pestem quam voluptatem corporis hominibus dicebat a natura datam, cuius voluptatis avidae libidines temere et ecfrenate ad potiendum incitarentur. Hinc patriae proditiones, hinc rerum publicarum eversiones, hinc cum hostibus clandestina colloquia nasci; nullum denique scelus, nullum malum facinus esse, ad quod suscipiendum non libido voluptatis impelleret; stupra vero et adulteria et omne tale flagitium nullis excitari aliis illecebris nisi voluptatis... ⁴

(He said that no more deadly plague has been given by nature to men than carnal pleasure, for the attainment of which greedy lust is rashly and uncontrollably aroused. From it stems the betrayal of one's country, from it the overthrow of government, from it secret colloquies with the enemy; in sum, there is no crime, no evil deed that the lust for pleasure will not drive men to commit; indeed, rape, adultery, and every disgraceful act are incited by nothing but the enticements of pleasure.)

² Ep., 110.10.

^{3 1} Timothy 6.10, Biblia Sacra (Vulgate): Radix enim omnium malorum est cupiditas...

⁴ De Senectute, 12.39-40. Throughout, all translations are our own.

For evil arises when men follow their sensual appetites, become crass materialists, slaves to the emotions; they ignore the role of reason, man's distinguishing characteristic, the quality possessed by him alone ⁵.

Impedit... consilium voluptas, rationi inimica est, mentis... praestringit oculos, nec habet ullum cum virtute commercium ⁶.

(Voluptas impedes judgment, it is hostile to reason, it shuts the eyes of the mind, and it has no communion with virtue).

At birth, God bestows upon human beings the seeds of reason—seeds which require constant nurturing, vigorous training, and education to come to fruition. Without cultivating reason, man in his irrational quest for uncontrolled pleasure is like an animal ⁷; his behavior, moreover, is nearly identical with that of an infant: both lack judgment, both are spontaneous, brash, and hyper-emotional, both are devoid of knowledge of good and evil. Such a man's *voluptas* is

... humile, servile, imbecillum, caducum, cuius statio ac domicilium fornices et popinae sunt.

(De Vita Beata, 7. 3) 8

(... a base, servile, weak, and transitory possession; its haunt and hang out are brothels and cafés) 9.

And, paradoxically, pleasure of this sort is, at one and the same time, empty and infinite. Because sensual desires, however

⁵ Ep., 41.8; 76.9-11; 92-27; 113-17; 121.14; 124.14, 21, 23.

⁶ Cicero, De Senectute 12.42.

⁷ Ep., 92.6: voluptas bonum pecoris est.

⁸ See also Ep., 123.16.

⁹ Ep., 78.27.

nugatory, are limitless, incapable of being kept within bounds, Seneca labels them unnatural —a species of habitual madness and slavery ¹⁰. And, ironically, the more pleasures one possesses, the more he is possessed; furthermore, the greater the pleasures, the more inferior he becomes ¹¹.

Moreover, the avid pleasure-seeker is on a kind of merry-go-round; the constant cycle of pleasures he indulges in is addictive, repetitive, even predictable. Consequently, Seneca terms *voluptas «inertissimum vitium»*, the most slothful vice ¹². These idle pursuits of the voluptuary lie outside the realm of natural laws and natural desires; the more they are fulfilled, the more insatiable they become: «quo magis implentur, eo magis inexplebiles» ¹³. As a result, the very pleasure of such men is restless, anxious, filled with tremor ¹⁴. Fearful lest their desires be aborted or curtailed, their life, like that of any addict, is one of frustration and outrage.

... ad rabiem nos cogunt pervenire deliciae, ut quicquid non ex voluntate respondit, iram evocet.

(Ep., 47.19)

(Our extravagances drive us to rage, so that whatever fails to satisfy our wants, stirs our wrath).

Their boundless quest for pleasure is, in fact, an indication of madness.

... illi ipsi stulti et inaequales et sub ictu paenitentiae positi magnas percipient voluptates, ut fatendum

¹⁰ Ep., 39.5-6; see also Ep., 110.10; De Vita Beata, 4.4.

¹¹ De Vita Beata, 14-2.

¹² De Benef., 4.11-5.

¹³ De Vita Beata, 13-4.

¹⁴ De Brev. Vit., 17.1.

sit tam longe tum illos ab omni molestia abesse quam a bona mente et... hilarem insaniam insanire ac per risum furere.

(De Vita Beata, 12.1)

(... these very men, foolish, unbalanced, and subject to nagging regret, will experience great pleasures, so it must be admitted that, at the time, they are as far removed from every annoyance as they are from a sound mind and... that their insanity rages on cheerfully and their laughter is madness).

Thus, Seneca repeatedly argues that the pursuit of hedonism and sensual gratifications are, paradoxically, attended by fear, pain, anxiety, and mental unbalance. Since pleasure is so attractive to many —in Vergil's words: *trahit sua quemque voluptas* ¹⁵— Seneca is well aware that he is being contentious and annoying when he inveighs against it. Yet he contends that he must assault precisely because we worship and adore vice.

... non desistam persequi nequitiam et adfectus efferatissimos inhibere et voluptates ituras in dolorem compescere et votis obstrepere. Quidni? Cum maxima malorum optaverimus....

(Ep., 121.4)

(... I shall not desist to prosecute wickedness, to inhibit the wildest emotions, to check pleasures that will turn to pain, and to clamor against men's prayers. Why not? Because we have prayed for the greatest evils...).

15 Ecl., 2.65.

In sum, the epicure and the debauchee suffer from an unstable mind; desiring to transcend the bounds of what is natural, they are doomed to failure while in the midst of a life of pleasure. And Seneca goes still further, arguing that a life of boundless pleasure reaps deleterious results.

Seneca repeatedly remarks that self-indulgence and satiety lead to *taedium vitae*, to exhaustion ¹⁶, and also to sorrow, disillusionment, and hatred.

Voluptas fragilis est, brevis, fastidio objecta, quo avidius hausta est citius in contrarium recidens...

(De Benef., 7.2.2) 17

(Pleasure is fragile, brief, subject to revulsion; the more eagerly it is indulged, the more quickly it turns into its opposite...).

In addition, a life of over-indulgence in such pleasures renders one effeminate and weak ¹⁸, and, by a species of poetic justice, turns his pleasure into pain.

Ipsae voluptates in tormenta vertuntur, epulae cruditatem adferunt, ebrietates nervorum torporem tremoremque, libidines pedum, manuum, articulorum omnium depravationes.

(Ep., 24.16)

(Our very pleasures are turned into torments: banquets cause indigestion, drunkenness induces numbness and nervous tremors, lust deforms the feet, the hands, all the joints).

¹⁶ De Trang. An., 2.15.'

¹⁷ See also Ep., 23.6; 77.16.

¹⁸ Ep., 104.34; De Vita Beata, 13.4.

Furthermore, indulgence in such pleasures causes mental as well as physical anguish.

Dimitte istas voluptates turbidas, magno luendas; non venturae tantum, sed praeteritae nocent. Quemadmodum scelera etiam si non sint deprehensa cum fierent, sollicitudo non cum ipsis abit; ita inprobarum voluptatum etiam post ipsas paenitentia est.

(Ep., 27.2)

(Dismiss those disorderly pleasures, paid for at a great price; not only are those injurious which are about to come but also those which have come and gone. Just as crimes even when undetected, do not free the criminal from anxiety, so wicked pleasures, even after they have been indulged, cause feelings of guilt).

Seneca as physician of body and soul expounds at length upon the many diseases caused by luxury and pleasure tremors, dizziness, indigestion, fever and chills, heart palpitations, gout, ulcers, ague, dropsy, jaundice, arthritis, and a general internal malaise ¹⁹. A man, so vice-ridden and diseased, loses his sense of judgment ²⁰, ruins himself in body and soul ²¹, and turns himself into a monstrosity. Like Scylla, he possesses godlike beauties and talents above, but these all turn to foulness and corruption below.

Prima hominis facies et pulchro pectore virgo Pube tenus, postrema inmani corpore pistrix Delphinum caudas utero commissa luporum ²².

¹⁹ Ep., 95.15-29; NQ, 4.13.5-7, 10-11.

²⁰ De Benef., 4.11.5.

²¹ Ep., 51.5-6; NQ, 7.31.1.

²² Vergil, Aeneid, 3.426-28.

(Above, her face is human, with beautiful breast—a maiden down to her waist; below, she is a monster, huge in body—, with tails of dolphins joined to a belly of wolves).

This is precisely the argument Seneca repeatedly conveys.

Voluptas... in qua nihil est magnificum aut quod naturam hominis dis proximi decent, res humilis, membrorum turpium aut vilium ministerio veniens, exitu foeda.

(De Benef., 7.2.2)

(Pleasure... in which there is nothing magnificent, nothing becoming to the nature of man, who is nearest the gods,—pleasure, a lowly thing resulting from ministering to the vile members of the body, and ending in abomination).

Prima ars hominis est ipsa virtus; huic committitur inutilis caro et fluida, receptandis tantum cibis habilis, ut ait Posidonius. Virtus illa divina in lubricum desinit et superioribus eius partibus venerandis atque caelestibus animal iners ac marcidum adtexitur.

(Ep., 92.10)

(Man's primary occupation is virtue itself; to this is affixed the useless and languid flesh suited only for the consumption of food, as Posidonius says. That divine virtue ends in baseness and, to its higher parts that ought to be venerated and are celestial, is attached a sluggish and enfeebled animal).

Such, alas, is the dual nature of man —at once angelic and demonic. In the memorable words of Alexander Pope:

Plac'd on this isthmus of a middle state, A being darkly wise, and rudely great; With too much knowledge for the Sceptic side, With too much weakness for the Stoic's pride, He hangs between; in doubt to act, or rest, In doubt to deem himself a God, or Beast; In doubt his Mind or Body to prefer, Born but to die, and reas' ning but to err; Alike in ignorance, his reason such, Whether he thinks too little, or too much: Chaos of Thought and Passion, all confus'd; Still by himself abus'd, or disabus'd; Created half to rise, and half to fall; Great lord of all things, yet a prey to all; Sole judge of Truth, in endless error hurl'd: The glory, jest, and riddle of the world! 23

Overall, what Seneca strives to make clear about pleasure is that paradoxically it yields no pleasure whatsoever. For, anticipating it breeds anxiety, experiencing it is too fleeting, and its aftermath confers a guilty conscience and disease. William Shakespeare in one of his most brilliant sonnets explores this whole paradox of man's compulsive quest for pleasure. «Th'expense of spirit in a waste of shame» is how he designates «lust in action». Prior to the attainment of his desired object, such a man in his anxious search for fulfillment is «Savage, extreme, rude, cruel, not to trust»; yet, immediately after his momentous gratification, the self-same man becomes bored, disinterested, listless, and exhausted. He now looks down upon or reviles the object of his pursuit and often castigates himself for his vile behavior: «Had, having, and in quest to have, extreme». Shakespeare concludes by noting ironically that man understands well enough this whole course of his perverse and contradictory passions, but yet knows not how to stop.

«All this the world well knows; yet none knows well. To shun the heaven that leads men to this hell» ²⁴.

^{23 «}Essay on Man», Epistle II, lines 3-18, in *The Poems of Alexander Pope*, ed. Maynard Mack, London 1950, vol. 3.i, 53-56.

²⁴ Sonnet N. 129, in Shakespeare, *The Sonnets*, ed. Douglas Bush and Alfred Harbage, rev. ed., New York 1970, 149. In the body of this paper we have quoted, lines 1, 4, 13 and 14.

Hence, the quest for pleasure renders a man barbarous and tumultuous —paradoxicaly, as Seneca repeatedly suggests, providins little pleasure at all. No poet has so poignantly explored this paradox as has John Keats. For him, the aftermath of pleasure fulfilled is devastating, transforming the hedonist into a victim of melancholia, or worse. In «La Belle Dame sans Merci», a knight who consummates his love with «a fairy's child» is suddenly cast under a spell; becoming «haggard» and «woe-begone», he must loiter interminably upon a «cold hill's side», in thrall in a stark landscape where vegetation has withered and «no birds sing». In Keats' view, pleasure tasted somehow magically and horribly turns into its opposite. This is the realm of Melancholy:

She dwells with Beauty —Beauty that must die; And joy, whose hand is ever at his lips Bidding adieu; and aching Pleasure nigh, Turning to poison while the bee-mouth sips... 25

Seneca's chief point in all these discussions and analyses of *voluptas* is that man owes it to himself to strive for goals more meaningful and less demeaning than mere sensual pleasure and its ceaseless cycle of passion, folly, and remorse. For pleasures, he writes,

Non sunt solidae, non sunt fideles; etiam si non nocent, fugiunt. Aliquod potius bonum mansurum circumspice. Nullum autem est, nisi quod animus ex se sibi invenit. Sola virtus praestat gaudium perpetuum, securum...

(Ep., 27.2-3)

(are not solid, are not reliable; even when harmless, they are fleeting. Rather, look for something good,

25 «Ode on Melancholy», lines 21-24, in *The Poems of John Keats*, ed. Jack Stillinger, Cambridge, Mass. 1978, 375. Consult Lionel Trilling, «The Fate of Pleasure: Wordsworth to Dostoevsky», *Partisan Review* 30 (1963) 167-91.

something that will last. But there is nothing except what the soul discovers within itself. Virtue alone offers joy which is perpetual, which is secure...).

This is the very crux of Seneca's long and often-ad-dressed quarrel with the life of pleasure. Such a life provides tilt-a-whirls and ferris wheels but it does not offer tranquillity, peace of mind, knowledge, stability.

We might well ask why Seneca so frequently returns to this topic —the disadvantages and the perils of pleasure. First of all, as we noted at the outset of this essay, Imperial Rome in the first century A. D. had become an affluent, pleasure-loving city—synonymous with luxury and conspicuous consumption. It was a world renowned for greed, gluttony, drunkenness, and sexual excess —depicted so vividly in Petronius' cena Trimalchionis and in Juvenal's Satires. In the words of J. B. Priestley, the world of Imperial Rome witnessed the decline both of religion and of the ideal of heroism.

The profound corruption of slavery; the organised sadism of the games and circuses; the sensuality that soon reached satiety; the murderous intrigues that passed for politics; the cynical cosmopolitanism of the huge city; the worship that had lost both heart and head and was dwindling into superstition: this was... Rome... ²⁶

What was especially disturbing to Seneca and others who espoused the cause of living secundum naturam, i. e., in accord with Reason, man's highest good, was the fact that not only the oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i$ but also some intellectuals extolled, justified, and elevated the habits of sensuality.

26 Man and Time, New York 1968, 139.

Quicumque voluptatem in summo ponunt, sensibile iudicant bonum, nos contra intelligibile, qui illud animo damus.

(Ep., 124.2)

(Whoever place pleasure on the highest plane judge the Good to be situated in the senses; we, on the contratry, who assign it to the mind, judge it to be situated in the understanding).

Seneca is here obviously referring to the antithetic position of the two leading schools of philosophy in Neronian Rome —the Epicurean and the Stoic— the former designating pleasure, the latter, virtue, as the highest good. Moreover, the Epicurean notion that virtue is the servant of pleasure, he flatly denies ²⁷, arguing that those who endorse such an idea have bestowed upon pleasure an erroneous and ill-deserved status, thereby making *voluptas* all the more insidiously attractive and justifiable. He reprimands and, at times, even excoriates, so-called Epicureans, describing them as effete, lecherous, debauched, overly-addicted to a life of leisure.

... nobis pugna est cum Epicureis, delicata et umbratica turba in convivio suo philosophantium...

(De Benef., 4.2.1)

(... our quarrel is with the Epicureans, an effeminate, idle crowd, lounging in their garden with their wine cups...).

They gave Seneca additional ammunition to speak out against pleasure. Again and again he carefully distinguishes pleasure from virtue, pointing out the need of separating the one from the other. For virtue, the *summum bonum*,

27 Ep., 90.35; De Benef., 4.2.1.

... immortale est, nescit exire nec satietatem habet nec paenitentiam ... At voluptas tunc, cum maxime delectat, extinguitur; non multum loci habet, itaque cito implet et taedio est et post primum impetum marcet.

(De Vita Beata, 7.4) 28

(... is immortal, it does not know how to recede, it has neither satiety nor remorse... but pleasure is extinguished the moment it reaches its peak; it has no depth, therefore it fills up quickly, becomes weary, and withers after its first onslaught).

The virtue that Seneca praises derives from a life that is righteous and honorable, a life that follows Nature and Reason as guide ²⁹. He believed that the champions of pleasure threatened this ideal. Yet he wanted his readers to know that he was not so much lampooning Epicurus himself, as he was attacking the popular distortion of the Epicurean philosophy. Even today an *Epicurean* is defined in our dictionaries as one «fond of good food, comfort, and ease», and the word epicure evokes the image of a person concerned with «food and wine», and «devoted to sensuous pleasure and luxurious living» ³⁰. The man in the street in Seneca's day was tempted to understand «epicurean» in just such hedonistic terms. As a teacher

²⁸ See also *De Vita Beata*, 8.1; 9.1-4; 10.1-3; 11.1-4; 12.1-5; 13.1-5; 14.1-3; 15.1-5; *De Benef.*, 4.2.4; *Ep.*, 95.35.

²⁹ De Vita Beata, 8.1.

³⁰ Consult *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*, 3rd ed., Boston, New York & London 1992, *s.v.* «epicure» and «epicurean». As H. W. Fowler observes in *A Dictionary of Modern English Usage*, 2nd ed. rev., Oxford 1965, *s.v.* «hedonist», 243: «It will be seen that the hedonist umbrella is a broad one, covering very different persons. ... the epicurean... [has] suffered some wrong in popular usage; it has generally been ignored that for Epicurus pleasure consisted in the practice of virtue. We now apply *epicure* to one who is given to refined enjoyment of food and drink...».

and philosopher, Seneca felt it one of his first duties to debunk this popular misconception and to dispel the shabby idea that wild living and dissipation merited elevation to a pedastal as a system of organized thought.

> Ille effusus in voluptates, ructabundus semper atque ebrius... credit [se vivere]... cum virtute; audit enim voluptatem separari a virtute non posse... Itaque non ab Epicuro impulsi luxuriantur, sed vitiis dediti luxuriam suam in philosophiae sinu abscondunt et eo concurrunt, ubi audiant laudari voluptatem. Nec aestimant, voluptas illa Epicuri... quam sobria ac sicca sit...

> > (De Vita Beata, 12.3-5)

(The man drenched in pleasures, forever belching and tipsy, believes he is living virtuously; for he has heard that pleasure cannot be separated from virtue... Yet such men are not driven to dissipation by Epicurus but, having surrendered themselves to vices, hide their dissipation in the bosom of philosophy and rush wherever they hear the praise of pleasure).

As a matter of fact, Seneca thought so highly of Epicurus himself, the founder of the school that bears his name, that he quotes him more frequently than any other philosopher ³¹, believing his ethical teachings to be closely akin to those of the Stoics.

In ea quidem ipse sententia sum —invitis hoc nostris popularibus dicam— sancta Epicurum et recta

³¹ Consult Anna Lydia Motto and John R. Clark, «Paradoxum Senecae: The Epicurean Stoic», CW 62 (1968), 37-42.

praecipere et, si propius accesseris, tristia; voluptas enim illa ad parvum et exile revocatur et, quam nos virtuti legem dicimus, eam ille dicit voluptati: iubet illam parere naturae.

(De Vita Beata, 13.1)

(I am indeed of this opinion —I shall state it, though the members of my sect will object— that Epicurus teaches what is holy and right and, if you look more carefully, what is unpleasant; for that pleasure of his is reduced to the small and the meagre, and the law which we assign to virtue, he assigns to pleasure: he orders it to obey Nature).

«The Stoics believed that virtue was the highest good; the Epicureans, pleasure. But the 'pleasure' which constituted their *summum bonum* in life was something much richer than wine, women, and song, something much deeper than the gluttony and libertinage that later generations ascribed to them. Epicurean 'pleasure' was, rather, moral conduct itself and the art or practice of living fully, ideally, happily. Since Epicurus taught that it is impossible to lead a life of pleasure which is not also a life of prudence, honor, and justice; nor lead a life of prudence, honor, and justice which is not also a life of pleasure ³², it seems that the Stoic is almost at one with the Epicurean at last» ³³.

True pleasure or joy can fall to the lot only of the wise (gaudium nisi sapienti non contingere) ³⁴, regardless of the school or sect with which one is affiliated. Thus, for Seneca, Epicurus is a striking example that truth is valid whatever the source:

³² R. D. Hicks, Stoic and Epicurean, London 1910, 172.

³³ Motto and Clark, «Paradoxum Senecae» (above, n. 31) 39.

³⁴ Ep., 59.2.

«Epicurus», inquis, «dixit. Quid tibi cum alieno?». Quod verum est, meum est. Perseverabo Epicurum tibi ingerere, ut isti, qui in verba iurant, nec quid dicatur aestimant sed a quo, sciant, quae optima sunt, esse communia.

(Ep., 12.11)

(«Epicurus», you say, «said this. What have you to do with the philosophy of another school?». What's truth is mine. I shall continue to heap Epicurus upon you, so that those who swear by words and do not value what is said but by whom it is said, may know that the thoughts which are best are common property).

All who are wise know that *verum gaudium* does not come from self-indulgence but from contentment, self-control, and equanimity.

Illa est voluptas et homine et viro digna non implere corpus nec saginare nec cupiditates irritare...sed perturbatione carere...

(De Benef., 7.2.3)

(The pleasure worthy of man and hero is this: not to fill or stuff the body and not to arouse the passions ... but to be free from perturbation ...).

Hence, Seneca expresses the highest regard for anyone, regardless of his philosophic sect, who leads a life of simplicity and honor—the only life that can offer man real and lasting pleasure.

Nor does such a life prevent one from enjoying moderate pleasures so essential to the well-being of the individual. Using plain, common sense, Seneca recognizes that pleasure is inherent in human nature.

Quis negat omnis adfectus a quodam quasi naturali fluere principio?
Curam nobis nostri natura mandavit, sed huic ubi nimium indulseris, vitium est. Voluptatem natura necessariis rebus admiscuit, non ut illam peteremus, sed ut ea, sine quibus non possumus vivere, grata nobis illius faceret accessio...

(*Ep.*, 116.3)

(Who would deny that all the emotions stem, as it were, frcm a certain natural source? Nature has imbued us with self-interest, but when you over-indulge this interest, it is a vice. Nature has mingled pleasure with necessities, not that we should pursue pleasure but that the addition of pleasure would make those things pleasing to us without which we cannot live).

All men require a well-balanced schedule: they need moderation in their work, in their exercise, in their food, in their drink.

Lusus quoque proderunt; modica enim voluptas laxat animos et temperat.

(De Ira, 2.20.3)

(Amusements too are helpful; for moderate pleasure relaxes and tempers the soul).

Those who have subdued and carefully regulated their pleasures appreciate and enjoy them all the more:

... quidni ad te magis perventurae sint, si illis imperabis, quam si servies?

(Ep., 116.1)

(Why should pleasures not come to yo more readily, if you are in command of them rather than at their service?).

Such are the pleasures experienced by the Stoic sapiens —pleasures that are calm, moderate, subdued ³⁵, pleasures that come from an inner tranquillity and peace of mind ³⁶, from contentment ³⁷, from leading a simple life ³⁸, from vanquishing desires ³⁹, from conversation with friends ⁴⁰, from helping others ⁴¹. Paradoxically, only the wise man experiences genuine pleasure ⁴², for he alone has gained control of his life; he alone is free to taste *bona fide* pleasures that are entirely removed from riot, nervous impulse, and addiction.

Bertrand Russell once paused to reflect upon the meaning of «free thought». «Free» thought cannot be unfettered; it cannot be dissolute, boundless, or unstructured:

> The freedom that the freethinker seeks is not the absolute freedom of anarchy; it is freedom within the intellectual law...

To be worthy of the name [freethinker], he must be free from two things; the force of tradition, and the tyranny of his own passions ⁴³.

```
35 De Vita Beata, 12.2; De Benef., 7.2.4.
```

³⁶ Ep., 4.1.

³⁷ Ep., 15.9.

³⁸ De Tranq. An., 17.2.

³⁹ Ep., 12.5.

⁴⁰ Ep., 35.3.

⁴¹ Ep., 81.10; De Benef., 1.6.1; 2.2.2; 4.14.4; 4.15.2-4.

⁴² De Vita Beata, 11.1.

^{43 «}The Value of Free Thought», *Understanding History and Other Essays*, New York 1957, 58, 57.

With this assertion, Seneca would fully agree. To be wholly free, a man cannot be a slave to some past tradition—even Stoic tradition.

Non ibo per priorum vestigia? Ego vero utar via vetere, sed si propiorem planioremque invenero, hanc muniam. Qui ante nos ista moverunt, non domini nostri, sed duces sunt. Patet omnibus veritas, nondum est occupata. Multum ex illa etiam futuris relictum est.

(Ep., 33.11) 44

(Shall I not tread in the footsteps of my predecessors? I shall, in truth, use the old road, but if I come upon one that is shorter and easier, I shall secure it. Men who discovered those paths before us are not our masters, but our guides. Truth lies open to all; it has not yet been wholly appropriated. There is also a great deal of it left for posterity to explore).

Thus did Lucius Annaeus Seneca at times diverge from traditional teachings, and did not hesitate to do so. And what's more, the freed-man must escape «the tyranny of his own passions». No one knew this better than the Philosopher Seneca, who expended so much energy assaulting lust and pleasure.

ANA LYDIA MOTTO

44 Cf. De Otio, 3.1.